



Is there still value in Value?



André Meyer
Investment Intern

2 September 2024

Just as a batsman whips out a cover drive to find the boundary at Lord's, value investing has long been the trusted arrow in the discerning investor's quiver to deliver stellar returns. Its followers, like Mr. Buffet, have reached rock star status. However, value seems to have hit a bad wicket. Since the Global Financial Crisis (GFC), value has suffered one of its longest drawdowns against other style factors, most notably growth. Calls for the death of value have been growing. So, let's explore the arguments against value, seeking answers to why it has underperformed and whether these outcries have been premature.

Value investing involves buying securities that appear underpriced relative to some fundamental anchor, typically a measure of intrinsic value linked to financial statements such as Book to Price (B/P), Price to Earnings (P/E) or Price to Cash Flow (P/CF). Fama & French¹ cemented value as an investment strategy in their seminal paper documenting the outperformance of HML (High Minus Low) stocks. In short, they established the existence of a premium when following a strategy that involves going long high B/P (cheap) equities and short low B/P (expensive) equities. However, since 2007 developed market growth equities have outperformed its value counterpart by more than 3.5% annually. If the value premium exists, why has it performed so badly over this period?

One argument is that value has become a crowded trade, and any premium has been arbitrated away. The thinking goes that everyone knows the value premium exists, and therefore enough capital has flowed to this strategy to increase asset prices (i.e., valuation multiples) of value stocks and reduce the future returns from this cohort. This argument is however easily refuted as valuation spreads – the difference in valuations between growth and value – have widened considerably.

A further diagnosis of value's ails relates to the low interest rate regime that persisted over much of the past 15 years. Low interest rates are said to benefit growth stocks as they are more exposed to duration risk due to their outsized expected future earnings. However, this alone does not suffice, as value stocks normally have greater debt burdens and would benefit from lower rates. Furthermore, there has been no meaningful relationship between interest rate levels or changes in rates and that of the value premium.

A further criticism of value relates to its inability to capture the rise of intangible assets in a transformed economy dominated by services and knowledge. Financial reporting requirements are more adept at accounting book value for capital invested in brick-and-mortar industries than for intangible assets. Investments into R&D, human capital and customer relationships are fully expensed, reducing book value immediately, despite long-term future returns. An approach that adjusts book value by capitalizing investment in intangibles would have outperformed normal HML strategies by 2.2% per annum from 2007 to 2020. Although this criticism has merit, even an intangible asset-adjusted value strategy would have still underperformed against growth.

If none of the above, with a minor exception of the intangible asset's argument, have caused value's slump, what has? The root cause seems to lie with relative valuations of value and growth stocks. The returns on the value factor can be split into three components: migration, profitability, and revaluation. Migration refers to stock level mean reversion in value stocks, while profitability captures the relative performance of companies on an income yield basis.

Each year some value stocks rerate and migrate into neutral or growth portfolios, providing returns to the strategy. Growth stocks are normally more profitable and growing faster, hence their justified higher multiples. Migration benefits value strategies whilst profitability benefits growth strategies. The last component is revaluation. This component captures the return from a change in valuation spreads between growth and value stocks.

In their research, Arnott et al² show that migration and profitability have remained largely unchanged when comparing returns from value portfolios before 2007 and after. Value stocks are still rerating into neutral or growth, and this return still more than offsets the profitability of growth stocks, although the premium has slightly diminished. The main culprit in value's underperformance is revaluation. Value stocks have become considerably cheaper relative to growth, being 3.2 times cheaper now measured on B/P, compared to 1.7 in 2007. The current gap is amongst its widest in history, comparable with the dotcom bubble. It seems that the prices have strayed precariously far from fundamentals.

What does this mean for value in the future? For value to be declared dead, the spread should increase indefinitely. Given the drawdowns in revaluation experienced to date, this seems unlikely. Even if revaluations do not meaningfully revert but remain steady, the impact of migration over profitability still holds – in essence value still exists.

There is reason for optimism when looking at value's future. Although value has yet to see the light again in the US, there has been a glimmer of hope in international markets. Value strategies for developed markets excluding the US have outperformed growth since the depth of COVID-19. The principles encapsulating the strategy are still sound, and it is coming off a very low base. Overreliance on narrow definitions of value should be cautioned, but after its tough slog, value's next innings might be one of its finest to come.

Sources: ¹Fama, Eugene F. and French, Kenneth R., The Anatomy of Value and Growth Stock Returns (August 2007). ²Arnott, R. D., Harvey, C. R., Kalesnik, V., & Linnainmaa, J. T. (2021). [Reports of Value's Death May Be Greatly Exaggerated](#). Financial Analysts Journal. Unless stated all data is sourced Bloomberg Finance L.P., August 2024.



With us, investing is personal



Market Review - week ending 30 August 2024

- » Global equities rose 0.3%.
- » Fed Chair Powell's speech signalled a dovish shift, indicating potential US rate cuts soon, with a focus on supporting employment. Powell mentioned that the Fed would do "everything we can to support a strong labour market" and hinted at the possibility of larger rate cuts.
- » Brent crude fell 0.3% to \$78.80 per barrel.
- » Gold fell 0.4% to \$2,503.39 per ounce.

US

- » US equities rose 0.3%.
- » Economic data showed mixed signals: July core durable goods orders declined by 0.2% (vs. -0.1% expected), while the Dallas Fed manufacturing index improved to its highest reading since January 2023 of -9.7 (vs -16.0 expected). The Conference Board's consumer confidence index rose to a six-month high of 103.3 (vs. 100.7 expected), though labour market indicators weakened.
- » The GDP for Q2 was revised up to a 3.0% annualised growth rate (vs. 2.8% previously estimated). Inflation figures were also revised downward, bringing core PCE closer to the Fed's target at +2.8%.
- » Weekly initial jobless claims for the week ending August 24 were in line with expectations at 231k.

UK

- » UK equities rose 0.7%.
- » The Bank of England reported mortgage approvals at 62k in July, their highest level in almost two years.
- » Average house prices rose by 2.4% year-on-year according to the latest Nationwide House Price Index.

Europe

- » European equities rose 1.4% .
- » Germany's Ifo (Information and Forschung (research) business climate indicator fell to 86.6 in August, its lowest since February.
- » The Euro Area M3 money supply growth grew by 2.3% year-on-year in July (vs. 2.7% expected).
- » Initial flash CPI data releases came in softer than expected, with Germany's harmonised CPI at 2.0% and Spain's at 2.4%. Euro Area flash CPI readings also hit a low of 2.2% in August, keeping the door open for potential European Central Bank rate cuts.

Rest of the World/Asia

- » Global emerging market equities were flat.
- » Japanese equities rose 1.1% , and Chinese equities fell 0.3%.
- » In China, industrial profits for large companies grew by 4.1% year-on-year in July, up from 3.6% in June.
- » Australia's July CPI fell to 3.5%, slightly below expectations.
- » Japan's Tokyo CPI exceeded forecasts at 2.6% year-on-year. However, retail sales and industrial production figures were weaker than expected, and the jobless rate increased to 2.7%, the highest since March 2023.

Market Performance - week ending 30 August 2024

Asset Class / Region	Currency	Cumulative returns			
		Week ending 30 August	Month to date	YTD 2024	12 months
Developed Markets Equities					
United States	USD	0.3%	2.4%	19.2%	26.4%
United Kingdom	GBP	0.7%	1.0%	11.7%	17.1%
Continental Europe	EUR	1.4%	1.8%	11.3%	16.6%
Japan	JPY	1.1%	-2.9%	16.0%	20.0%
Asia Pacific (ex Japan)	USD	0.5%	2.3%	11.2%	16.3%
Australia	AUD	1.0%	0.5%	9.1%	15.2%
Global	USD	0.3%	2.6%	16.7%	24.2%
Emerging Markets Equities					
Emerging Europe	USD	-0.5%	-2.3%	12.9%	18.1%
Emerging Asia	USD	0.2%	1.6%	12.5%	16.5%
Emerging Latin America	USD	-2.3%	2.6%	-12.6%	-2.5%
BRICs	USD	0.3%	1.5%	9.0%	10.3%
China	USD	-0.3%	1.0%	4.4%	-3.2%
MENA countries	USD	-0.1%	0.4%	0.5%	3.0%
South Africa	USD	-0.8%	3.6%	13.9%	19.9%
India	USD	1.6%	1.1%	16.4%	30.0%
Global emerging markets	USD	0.0%	1.6%	9.5%	14.1%
Bonds					
US Treasuries	USD	-0.5%	1.3%	2.7%	6.2%
US Treasuries (inflation protected)	USD	-0.4%	0.8%	3.3%	6.2%
US Corporate (investment grade)	USD	-0.5%	1.5%	4.0%	9.6%
US High Yield	USD	0.2%	1.6%	6.3%	12.6%
UK Gilts	GBP	-0.5%	0.5%	-0.4%	7.2%
UK Corporate (investment grade)	GBP	-0.3%	0.3%	2.2%	11.4%
Euro Government Bonds	EUR	-0.4%	0.4%	0.7%	5.5%
Euro Corporate (investment grade)	EUR	-0.1%	0.3%	2.6%	7.7%
Euro High Yield	EUR	0.4%	1.2%	5.6%	11.9%
Global Government Bonds	USD	-0.5%	2.5%	1.1%	5.6%
Global Bonds	USD	-0.7%	2.2%	2.0%	7.0%
Global Convertible Bonds	USD	0.0%	1.5%	2.3%	6.7%
Emerging Market Bonds	USD	0.1%	2.6%	6.0%	12.7%

Asset Class / Region	Currency	Cumulative returns			
		Week ending 30 August	Month to date	YTD 2024	12 months
Property					
US Property Securities	USD	0.8%	6.4%	12.1%	19.6%
Australian Property Securities	AUD	2.2%	0.1%	15.5%	21.7%
Asia Property Securities	USD	3.2%	6.3%	-0.5%	2.9%
Global Property Securities	USD	1.1%	5.7%	9.4%	17.9%
Currencies					
Euro	USD	-1.1%	2.2%	-0.1%	1.1%
UK Pound Sterling	USD	-0.5%	2.2%	2.8%	3.2%
Japanese Yen	USD	-0.9%	3.0%	-3.7%	-0.1%
Australian Dollar	USD	-0.4%	3.5%	-1.1%	4.2%
South African Rand	USD	-0.6%	2.1%	2.4%	4.6%
Swiss Franc	USD	-0.1%	3.5%	-1.4%	3.2%
Chinese Yuan	USD	0.4%	1.8%	0.1%	2.7%
Commodities & Alternatives					
Commodities	USD	-0.3%	-0.2%	2.9%	-2.1%
Agricultural Commodities	USD	2.6%	2.3%	-0.3%	-4.1%
Oil	USD	-0.3%	-2.4%	2.3%	-8.2%
Gold	USD	-0.4%	3.1%	21.3%	28.7%

Source: Bloomberg Finance L.P. Past performance is not indicative of future returns.

For more information, please contact:

Distribution Services

E: distributionservices@momentum.co.uk

T: +44 (0)207 618 1806

Important notes - This document is only intended for use by the original recipient, either a Momentum Global Investment Management Limited (MGIM) client or prospective client, and does not constitute investment advice or an offer or solicitation to buy or sell. This document is not intended for use or distribution by any person in any jurisdiction in which it is not authorised or permitted, or to anyone who would be an unlawful recipient. The original recipient is solely responsible for any actions in further distributing this document, and in doing so should be satisfied that there is no breach of local legislation or regulation. This document should not be reproduced or distributed except via original recipients acting as professional intermediaries. This document is not for distribution in the United States.

Prospective investors should take appropriate advice regarding applicable legal, taxation and exchange control regulations in countries of their citizenship, residence or domicile which may be relevant to the acquisition, holding, transfer, redemption or disposal of any investments herein solicited.

Any opinions expressed herein are those at the date this document is issued. Data, models and other statistics are sourced from our own records, unless otherwise stated. We believe that the information contained is from reliable sources, but we do not guarantee the relevance, accuracy or completeness thereof. Unless otherwise provided under UK law, MGIM does not accept liability for irrelevant, inaccurate or incomplete information contained, or for the correctness of opinions expressed.

The value of investments in discretionary accounts, and the income derived, may fluctuate and it is possible that an investor may incur losses, including a loss of the principal invested. Past performance is not generally indicative of future performance. Investors whose reference currency differs from that in which the underlying assets are invested may be subject to exchange rate movements that alter the value of their investments.

Under our multi-management arrangements, we selectively appoint underlying sub-investment managers and funds to actively manage underlying asset holdings in the pursuit of achieving mandated performance objectives. Annual investment management fees are payable both to the multimanager and the manager of the underlying assets at rates contained in the offering documents of the relevant portfolios (and may involve performance fees where expressly indicated therein).

MGIM (Company Registration No. 3733094) has its registered office at The Rex Building, 62 Queen Street, London EC4R 1EB. MGIM is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority in the United Kingdom (registration no.232357), and is exempt from the requirements of section 7(1) of the Financial Advisory and Intermediary Services Act 37 of 2002 (FAIS) in South Africa, in terms of the FSCA FAIS Notice 141 of 2021 (published 15 December 2021). For complaints relating to MGIM's financial services, please contact distributionservices@momentum.co.uk ©MGIM 2024.