

## weekly

**Fund Selection:****101**

by Tom Delic

The CEO of a business has many responsibilities, two of the most important being the strategic and operational oversight of the company. Another vitally important aspect of their role is capital allocation, an area that is often underappreciated by an investor but also poorly carried out by many CEOs. When researching a fund, it is often useful to view the fund manager as a CEO of a conglomerate, responsible for making investments in a portfolio of businesses, with none of the strategic and operational pressures, thereby making capital allocation of primary focus. Viewed through this lens, making an investment through a fund is a partnership with the fund manager, who you are entrusting to manage the capital of your clients in the best possible way. Just like the listed equity markets represent a huge variety of businesses that you can choose to own a piece of, a universe of funds represents a list of potential long-term business partnerships. Below is a summary of a growing body of studies that can help form a filter for the universe when picking who you should partner with.

First, let's look at how we would like a fund to be structured. A study of hedge funds in 2015 (Yin)<sup>1</sup>, showed that fund managers have a strong incentive to grow assets under management, as the absolute dollar amount earned from management fees outweighed the benefit of earning an incentive fee on excess performance. Importantly, the diseconomies of scale were found to occur before fund performance deteriorated. As fund selectors, we therefore must look for a manager that not only commits to a fund capacity limit, but we must also consider if that maximum level is still too large for the manager's specific strategy.

Secondly, we turn to skin in the game, a term popularised by Nassim Taleb in his 2017 book of the same name. A 2017 study by Gupta and Sachdeva<sup>2</sup> found that funds with high inside capital outperformed those funds that largely relied on outside capital. Interestingly, the two also found that those managers with their own wealth at stake, were much more likely to limit fund flows, resulting in a persistence of excess returns.

In terms of portfolio strategy, perhaps one of the most well-known studies is by Martijn Cremers and Antti Petajisto (2009)<sup>3</sup>, which gave birth to the now often quoted 'Active Share' statistic. In the paper, Cremers found that those funds which were most different from their respective benchmarks, significantly outperformed. In a follow up paper in 2017<sup>4</sup>, Cremers also found that the best

**"When researching a fund, it is often useful to view the fund manager as a CEO"**

29 March 2021

performing funds were those that had both a high active share and longer holding periods. These funds were in the minority, only accounting for 1.6% of total fund assets across the US equity mutual fund universe.

The above quantitative analysis is by no means exhaustive but can be used as a quick checklist when reviewing a new manager. These characteristics also provide important clues into the qualitative, or fuzzy aspects of manager research, which can't be boiled down to hard evidence. We are dealing with human nature after all, which makes a scientific method to fund analysis a useful contribution to the jigsaw, but some important pieces are missing to complete the picture. Assessing areas such as independence of thought, temperament, decision making under periods of poor performance, and integrity are just a few of the traits that are difficult to measure numerically, therefore requiring the fund analyst's judgement which is built from their experience of many interactions with fund managers.

Perhaps the final word should be on past performance, which when said aloud, feel like they now only belong in the frequently cited disclaimer. While most will measure the "short-term" in months, it can be much more beneficial to stretch your own definition of the short-term out further than the crowd's. If for example your period to measure short-term performance is 3 years, what would you consider to be long-term? A sensible approach can be to identify a manager who has outperformed over a full market cycle (e.g. peak to peak or trough to trough). If meeting your other quantitative and qualitative characteristics, your definition of short-term periods of underperformance (i.e. 1-3 years) could offer an attractive time to make an investment, as other investors are selling.

<sup>1</sup>Yin, Chengdong, *The Optimal Size of Hedge Funds: Conflict between Investors and Fund Managers* (November 24, 2015)

<sup>2</sup>Gupta, Arpit and Sachdeva, Kunal, *Skin or Skim? Inside Investment and Hedge Fund Performance* (December 13, 2017)

<sup>3</sup>Cremers, K. J. Martijn and Petajisto, Antti, *How Active is Your Fund Manager? A New Measure That Predicts Performance* (March 31, 2009)

<sup>4</sup>Cremers, K. J. Martijn, *Active Share and the Three Pillars of Active Management: Skill, Conviction and Opportunity* (May 2017)

# Market Focus

- » Global equities rose 0.7% last week
- » The global coronavirus case count continues to rise steadily, with European Commission President von der Leyen announcing that “we’re at the start of the third wave of the pandemic”
- » Brent crude rose 0.1% last week to \$64.6 a barrel
- » Gold fell -0.7% to \$1732.5 per ounce

## US



- » US equities rose 1.6% last week
- » President Biden announced an upward revision to 200mn of the administration’s goal of 100mn vaccinations in its first 100 days
- » Weekly initial jobless claims for the week ending 20th March fell to 684k against 730k expected, their lowest level since the pandemic began
- » Existing home sales fell to an annualised rate of 6.22mn in February against 6.49mn expected, while new home sales fell to an annualised rate of 775k against 870k expected
- » The Chicago Fed’s national activity index fell to -1.09 against 0.72 expected, its first decline since April
- » The composite PMI rose to 59.5 in February, its highest level since 2014
- » February’s durable goods orders fell by -1.1% against a rise of 0.5% expected



## Rest of the World/Asia

- » The benchmark Global Emerging Markets index returned -2.2% last week
- » Japanese equities fell -1.4% in the week
- » The US, UK, EU and Canada have imposed sanctions on China over alleged human rights abuses on the Uyghurs in Xinjiang
- » The flash March PMIs for Japan show a slight rise to 52 for manufacturing and a slight increase to 46.5 for the services PMI
- » Australia’s manufacturing PMI rose slightly to 57, while the services reading improved from 53.4 last month to 56.2
- » The Turkish central bank governor was unexpectedly replaced by Presidential decree

## Europe



- » European equities rose 0.9% last week
- » The Euro Area composite PMI rose to 52.5 against 49.1 expected, with the manufacturing PMI coming in at an all-time high
- » Germany’s manufacturing PMI was a record 66.6 against 60.5 expected, with the services PMI at 50.8, outperforming the expected 46.5 figure
- » The EU announced tougher proposals to restrict vaccine exports
- » Germany dropped a plan for a five-day lockdown over Easter, with Chancellor Merkel claiming responsibility for the “mistake”



## UK

- » UK equities rose 0.7% last week
- » The unemployment rate for the three months to January was 5.0%, down from 5.1% in the three months to December
- » The CPI reading fell unexpectedly to 0.4% against 0.8% expected

Asset Class / Region	Currency	Cumulative returns			
		Week ending 26 March	Month to date	YTD 2021	12 months
<b>Developed Markets Equities</b>					
United States	USD	1.6%	4.4%	6.1%	52.9%
United Kingdom	GBP	0.7%	4.5%	5.6%	17.4%
Continental Europe	EUR	0.9%	5.7%	6.9%	36.7%
Japan	JPY	-1.4%	6.4%	10.0%	45.2%
Asia Pacific (ex Japan)	USD	-1.7%	-2.3%	2.5%	59.6%
Australia	AUD	1.7%	2.9%	4.7%	37.1%
Global	USD	0.7%	3.4%	5.0%	52.4%
<b>Emerging Markets Equities</b>					
Emerging Europe	USD	-2.9%	0.6%	-0.5%	34.7%
Emerging Asia	USD	-2.1%	-3.3%	1.8%	61.0%
Emerging Latin America	USD	-3.6%	2.7%	-7.1%	37.4%
BRICs	USD	-3.6%	-4.1%	-0.7%	46.6%
China	USD	-4.2%	-6.6%	-0.8%	43.6%
MENA countries	USD	-0.8%	2.2%	7.1%	38.8%
South Africa	USD	-0.9%	4.2%	10.1%	64.7%
India	USD	-1.8%	1.7%	4.6%	74.6%
Global emerging markets	USD	-2.2%	-2.2%	1.6%	56.8%
<b>Bonds</b>					
US Treasuries	USD	0.5%	-0.8%	-4.2%	-4.2%
US Treasuries (inflation protected)	USD	0.9%	0.1%	-1.4%	6.4%
US Corporate (investment grade)	USD	0.5%	-1.9%	-4.8%	11.2%
US High Yield	USD	0.6%	-0.1%	0.6%	27.2%
UK Gilts	GBP	1.1%	1.0%	-6.3%	-4.9%
UK Corporate (investment grade)	GBP	0.9%	0.6%	-4.0%	11.8%
Euro Government Bonds	EUR	0.4%	0.6%	-2.0%	2.3%
Euro Corporate (investment grade)	EUR	0.2%	0.3%	-0.6%	9.6%
Euro High Yield	EUR	0.2%	0.4%	1.5%	23.5%
Japanese Government	JPY	0.3%	1.0%	-0.3%	-0.9%
Australian Government	AUD	0.9%	1.7%	-3.5%	-2.3%
Global Government Bonds	USD	0.1%	-1.3%	-5.0%	1.5%
Global Bonds	USD	0.0%	-1.4%	-4.3%	5.1%
Global Convertible Bonds	USD	-1.8%	-3.9%	-1.1%	36.3%
Emerging Market Bonds	USD	-0.9%	-1.7%	-7.0%	7.7%

Asset Class / Region	Currency	Cumulative returns			
		Week ending 26 March	Month to date	YTD 2021	12 months
<b>Property</b>					
US Property Securities	USD	2.8%	5.7%	10.0%	38.0%
Australian Property Securities	AUD	2.6%	6.4%	-1.2%	35.8%
Asia Property Securities	USD	-0.5%	1.3%	8.2%	24.0%
Global Property Securities	USD	1.0%	3.5%	6.0%	36.4%
<b>Currencies</b>					
Euro	USD	-1.0%	-2.4%	-3.6%	7.0%
UK Pound Sterling	USD	-0.6%	-1.1%	1.0%	13.6%
Japanese Yen	USD	-0.7%	-2.8%	-5.8%	-0.2%
Australian Dollar	USD	-1.6%	-1.3%	-0.9%	26.1%
South African Rand	USD	-1.9%	1.0%	-2.3%	15.4%
Swiss Franc	USD	-1.1%	-3.2%	-5.8%	2.5%
Chinese Yuan	USD	-0.5%	-1.0%	-0.2%	8.1%
<b>Commodities &amp; Alternatives</b>					
Commodities	USD	-0.7%	-1.4%	12.3%	48.6%
Agricultural Commodities	USD	-1.4%	-2.5%	6.8%	40.8%
Oil	USD	0.1%	-2.4%	24.7%	145.1%
Gold	USD	-0.7%	-0.1%	-8.5%	5.8%
Hedge funds	USD	-0.7%	-0.5%	1.0%	16.1%

For more information, please contact:

**Anastasiya Volodina**  
**Distribution Services**

E: [distributionservices@momentum.co.uk](mailto:distributionservices@momentum.co.uk)

T: +44 (0)207 618 1806

**Important notes**

This document is only intended for use by the original recipient, either a Momentum GIM client or prospective client, and does not constitute investment advice or an offer or solicitation to buy or sell. This document is not intended for use or distribution by any person in any jurisdiction in which it is not authorised or permitted, or to anyone who would be an unlawful recipient. The original recipient is solely responsible for any actions in further distributing this document, and in doing so should be satisfied that there is no breach of local legislation or regulation. This document should not be reproduced or distributed except via original recipients acting as professional intermediaries. This document is not for distribution in the United States.

Prospective investors should take appropriate advice regarding applicable legal, taxation and exchange control regulations in countries of their citizenship, residence or domicile which may be relevant to the acquisition, holding, transfer, redemption or disposal of any investments herein solicited.

Any opinions expressed herein are those at the date this document is issued. Data, models and other statistics are sourced from our own records, unless otherwise stated. We believe that the information contained is from reliable sources, but we do not guarantee the relevance, accuracy or completeness thereof. Unless otherwise provided under UK law, Momentum GIM does not accept liability for irrelevant, inaccurate or incomplete information contained, or for the correctness of opinions expressed.

The value of investments in discretionary accounts, and the income derived, may fluctuate and it is possible that an investor may incur losses, including a loss of the principal invested. Past performance is not generally indicative of future performance. Investors whose reference currency differs from that in which the underlying assets are invested may be subject to exchange rate movements that alter the value of their investments.

Under our multi-management arrangements, we selectively appoint underlying sub-investment managers and funds to actively manage underlying asset holdings in the pursuit of achieving mandated performance objectives. Annual investment management fees are payable both to the multimanager and the manager of the underlying assets at rates contained in the offering documents of the relevant portfolios (and may involve performance fees where expressly indicated therein).

Momentum Global Investment Management (Company Registration No. 3733094) has its registered office at The Rex Building, 62 Queen Street, London EC4R 1EB.

Momentum Global Investment Management Limited is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority in the United Kingdom, and is an authorised Financial Services Provider pursuant to the Financial Advisory and Intermediary Services Act 37 of 2002 in South Africa.

© Momentum Global Investment Management Limited 2021

